16

17

## THE HIDDEN TRUTH ABOUT VACCINES AND FORCED STERILIZATION

Rather than leading to more life, which was the original purpose of vaccines and healthcare, their great advantage in Gates' mind (at least according to his remarks at the Technology, Entertainment and Design 2010 Conference) is that they can lead to fewer people. While this is obviously true

when it comes to contraception, it is hard to understand how vaccines are specifically related to a decrease in the population.

The connection between vaccines and population control becomes clearer if we consider an event that occurred in the early 1990's. The World Health Organization, working under the control of the UN, launched a campaign to vaccinate millions of people in Nicaragua, Mexico and the Philippines against tetanus. The project was supported by the Rockefeller Foundation, the World Bank, the UN

Development Programme, and the US's National Institutes of Health.

With support from the Rockefeller Foundation, the World Bank, the UN

Development Programme, and the US's National Institutes of Health, the

World Health Organization secretly sterilized thousands of young women

under the pretence of giving them the tetanus vaccine

"Population is a political problem.

it requires authoritarian govern-

ment, even fascism, to reduce it..."

Population Affairs

Tetanus is a potentially lethal infection caused by external wounds or cuts from things like rusty nails. There is nothing unusual in tetanus inoculations, which are routine in the Western World. But there was something that didn't quite add up about these particular vaccinations.

For one thing, the WHO was choosing to only vaccinate

females. For another thing, the girls they chose to vaccinate are primarily those between the ages of 15 and 45 (in Nicaragua the age range was 12 49.)

It wasn't just disease experts who noticed that something was amiss. After all, it doesn't take a great deal of intelligence to know that men and boys are more prone to wounds from cuts and rusty nails than ladies.

So why was the WHO only choosing to vaccinate girls of child-bearing age?

To make matters more suspicious, the vaccination protocols were calling for multiple injections - three within three months and a total of five altogether. However, tetanus vaccinations provide protection for ten years or more, so why this call for multiple inoculations?

These were the questions that Comite Pro Vida de Mexico, a Roman Catholic lay organization, began asking and why they decided to have some vaccine samples tested.

The tests revealed that the tetanus vaccine contained human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG). hCG is a natural hormone that is secreted during the initial stages of pregnancy. When this hormone is combined with the tetanus toxoid carrier, it tricks the body into thinking that hCG is an invading enemy, with the result that a woman's body begins producing anti-hCG antibodies, making it impossible for her to ever maintain a pregnancy.

> After a number of labs exposed that the vaccine was really a life-time birth control shot, WHO officials tried to keep things quiet and continued administering the anti-fertility injections. It was only after the courts intervened that the WHO was forced to stop administering the vaccine. Unfortunately, by then the damage had already been done and thousands of girls in Nicaragua, Mexico and the Philippines had been rendered permanently infertile.

Was the 1990s tetanus scandal simply a medical blunder? Hardly! For 20

years prior to the incident, WHO researchers had been actively involved in creating anti-fertility vaccines utilizing hCG tied to tetanus toxoid as a carrier. This was publically documented in medical journals from the 70s through to the 90s.


What could possibly have motivated the World Health Organization to knowingly, but covertly, sterilize thousands of women in third world countries? While we do not have sufficient information to answer this question for definite, the answer could have something to do with the fact that

population control is once again a growing public concern among the Once population is out of control, world's elite. Consider that:

 Population control seems to be the pet topic among those men who control much of the world's wealth. Not Thomas Ferguson of the United only Bill Gates but also Warren Buf-State's Department Office of fett and Ted Turner have all spoken publically in favour of drastically reducing the human species, and sup-

ported programs designed to eliminate the excess in babies. For example, in 1996, Ted Turner stated that, "A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."

In an interview with the New York Times in 2009, Supreme Court Justice Ginsburg alluded to the fact that abortion is all about getting rid of certain types of people that we do not want around: "Frankly I had thought that at the time Roe was decided, there was concern about population



- Echoing comments made by the Optimum Population Trust in 2007, The London School of Economics suggested in 2009 that the best way to combat global warming is to reduce the surplus population through contraception and abortion. Their logic is simple: more people = more polluters.
- A 2007 report, written by specialist Professor Barry Walters for the Australian medical journal, called for couples with more than two children to be charged a lifelong tax to offset their extra offspring's carbon dioxide emissions. Parents would be charged \$5,000 a head for every child after their second, and an annual tax of up to \$800.
- In a 1981 interview, Thomas Ferguson of the United States Department Office of Population Affairs, commented that "we must reduce population levels. Either governments do it our way, through nice clean methods, or they will get the kinds of mess that we have in El Salvador, or in Iran or in Beirut. Population is a political problem. Once population is out of control, it requires authoritarian government, even fascism, to reduce it...
- College professors and leaders frequently cite the Georgia Guidestones in arguing that that we should "maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature." To achieve that, the human population would have to be thinned by 90%.
- The Anglo-Dutch financial empire has been and still is committed to reducing the world's population from the present 6.7 billion, to under 2 billion persons. This has been stated repeatedly in the post-war period by such leading spokesmen as Lord Bertrand Russell, Julian Huxley, and World Wildlife Fund founders Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands and the still-living Prince Philip, who has reiterated many times his desire to see the human population thinned.
- Robert McNamara of the World Bank has said, "Excessive population growth is the greatest single obstacle to the eco-

nomic and social advancement of most of the societies in the developing world."

- An initiative for the United Nations ECO-92 Earth Charter reads, "The present vast overpopulation, now far beyond the world carrying capacity, cannot be answered by future reductions in the birth rate due to contraception, sterilization and abortion, but must be met in the present by the reduction of numbers presently existing. This must be done by whatever means necessary."
- Dr. Henry Kissinger has said "World population needs to be decreased by 50%."
- Friends of the Earth founder, David Brower, has stated, "That's the first thing to do, start controlling the population in affluent white America, where a child born to a white American will use about fifty times the resources of a child born in the black ghetto." "Childbearing [should be] a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license.... All potential parents [should be] required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens chosen for childbearing."
- President Obama's top science and technology advisor. John P. Holdren, has advocated the possibility of a "planetary regime" that would use a "global police force" to enforce totalitarian measures of population control, including forced abortions, mass sterilization programs conducted via the food and water supply, as well as mandatory bodily implants that would prevent couples from having children.
- The former Secretary of State for International Development, Andrew Mitchell MP, was a hard-line pro-abortionist in his ideal job. In November 2010 he proposed to "hardwire" abortion and contraceptive services into overseas development programmes. In December 2010 this came to fruition as he announced plans to spend an extra £2.1 billion on programmes including abortion and contraception, drawing a rebuke from the Society for the Protection of Unborn Children. The Governments' abortion policy is now an integral part of their foreign aid packages.

## ANTI-ABORTION ACTIVISTS WIN THEIR CASE

The charges against two antiabortion activists were struck down by a District Judge on 17th September.

Pro-life campaigners Kathryn Sloane and Andrew Stephenson were put on trial before the Brighton Magistrates Court for allegedly "obstructing police" when asked to take down pictures of aborted babies they were displaying outside a clinic in Brighton last

District Judge Nicholson who are part of the group Abort67, were within their



declared that the campaigners, A baby of eight weeks gestation, a month younger than the typical age for abortion

rights to resist the police since the police were acting illegally.

**READ**: Gen. 9:5-6; Ex. 20:13; Num. 35:16-21; Deut. 19:8-10; 30:19; Prov. 6:16-17; Jer. 1:5.

PRAY: Thank the Lord! This has been a great victory for freedom, in addition to increasing public awareness of what actually goes on behind the closed walls of abortion clinics.

WRITE: to your MP and ask what his position on abortion is. Take the opportunity to let your MP know how pleased you are that the charges against Kathryn Sloane and Andrew Stephenson were dropped.